	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/057,145	FEINBERG, STEWART CARL	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERI herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTO NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATE of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR	ITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the DL-85) or other appropriate communing ENT RIGHTS. This application is sub	cation will be mailed in due course. THIS	e
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>12-15-03</u> .			
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-10</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Ex	caminer.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prival All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document sheal (PCT Rule 17.2(a) * Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received. Its have been received in Application ority documents have been received in Application ority documents have been received in a second or the seco	No In this national stage application from the reply complying with the requirements MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient. (PTO-948) attached In the Office action of drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.121(d). RIAL must be submitted. Note the	
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3.) Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No./Mail Date 5.) 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Defended in the property of Biological Material	O-948) 6. Interview Sur Paper No./M TO/SB/08), 7. Examiner's A	lail Date <u>こめ</u> . mendment/Comment statement of Reasons for Allowance	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)	Notice of Allowability	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2	2(

Application/Control Number: 10/057,145

Art Unit: 1711

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

In the claims—

In claim 4 at the last line thereof before "." Insert

-- to produce a blend of claim 1 --.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Robert Stevenson on 2-19-04.

With regard to the newly cited prior art, the 2 newly cited patents are not closer to the instant claims than the art already of record and instant claims 1 and those dependent thereon are patentable over the these 2 patents since they do not teach or fairly suggest applicants component "i" having at least 5 weight percent combined methacrylic and acrylic acid. With regard to claim 7, these two patents do not teach or suggest a metallic pigmented blend.

JCM

2-19-04

Princey Economics Art Unit 1714